

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/619,225	07/14/2003	Donald J. Stavely	200308682-1	7001	
22879 HEWLETT PA	7590 09/12/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
P O BOX 2724	00, 3404 E. HARMON AL PROPERTY ADM	WIENER	WIENER, ERIC A		
	NS, CO 80527-2400	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,		2179		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/619,22	25	STAVELY ET AL.	•			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Eric A. Wi		2179				
The MAILING DATE of t Period for Reply	his communication a	appears on the	cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ess			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FF - Extensions of time may be available und after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing - If NO period for reply is specified above, - Failure to reply within the set or extende Any reply received by the Office later the earned patent term adjustment. See: 37	ROM THE MAILING er the provisions of 37 CFR date of this communication. the maximum statutory period period for reply will, by sta an three months after the ma	DATE OF TH 1.136(a). In no evo od will apply and wi tute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS lication to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this commonNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to communi	cation(s) filed on 28	3 June 2007.			•			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL .	2b)□ T	his action is n	on-final.					
3) Since this application is	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance wi	th the practice unde	er Ex parte Qu	ayle, 1935 C.D. 11	I, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims								
4)) is/are withd lowed. cted. pjected to.	Irawn from co						
Application Papers		•	•	•				
9) The specification is object 10) The drawing(s) filed on 1 Applicant may not request	4 July 2003 is/are: that any objection to t et(s) including the corr	a)⊠ accepte he drawing(s) b rection is requir	e held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is mad a) All b) Some * c) 1. Certified copies of 2. Certified copies of 3. Copies of the cert	None of: f the priority docume f the priority docume ified copies of the p ne International Bur	ents have bee ents have bee riority docume eau (PCT Rul	n received. n received in Appl ents have been rec e 17.2(a)).	ication No eived in this National Sta	age			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	wing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/619,225 Page 2

Art Unit: 2179

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the following communications: Amendment filed on 6/28/2007.

This action is made final.

2. Claims 1 – 34 are pending. Claims 1, 12, and 23 are the independent claims. Claims 1, 9, 12, 22, 23, and 31 are the amended claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/619,225 Page 3

Art Unit: 2179

5. Claims 1 – 4, 8 – 14, 16 – 18, 21 – 26, 29 – 31, and 33 – 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheatle et al. (US 2002/0140988 A1) in view of Wang (US 6,263,086 B1).

As per independent claim 1, Cheatle discloses a method for delivering information comprising:

- prominently displaying in a physical setting a unique human-recognizable logo having sets of coordinated color-sets for the logo; including a border and a background ([0030]);
- digitally capturing an electronic image of the unique human-recognizable logo as a graphic symbol in the physical setting ([0033]), wherein "resulting electronic image" means that an electronic image is digitally captured;
- identifying the graphic symbol within the electronic image ([0030] [0031]);
- communicating said graphic symbol to a database of existing symbols ([0034]);
- matching said graphic symbol to one of said existing symbols ([0034] [0035]); and
- transmitting information associated with said graphic symbol to said electronic image ([0035]).

Cheatle does not explicitly disclose tracking legal ownership of the unique human-recognizable logo by registering the unique human-recognizable logo with an official agency.

However, in an analogous art, Wang discloses tracking legal ownership of a unique human-recognizable logo by registering the unique human-recognizable logo with an official agency (column 1, lines 12 – 42), wherein the disclosed visible watermarks encompass unique

Art Unit: 2179

human-recognizable logos and further wherein it is inherent to the ability to track and establish ownership rights that said watermark must be somehow registered for tracking.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teaching of Wang with the method of Cheatle, because both inventions are for receiving information pertaining to a recognized logo. In addition, Cheatle's invention may generate a link to the site of the logo owner (Cheatle, [0039]). Thus, ownership of said logo is a relevant article of information that one would want to be able to track and be informed of.

As per independent claim 12, Cheatle discloses an information management system comprising:

- a unique human-recognizable logo having sets of coordinated color-sets for the logo, including a border and a background being prominently displayed in a physical setting ([0030]);
- an electronic image of the unique human-recognizable logo captured by a digital camera and represented by a unique symbol ([0033]), wherein "resulting electronic image" means that an electronic image is digitally captured;
- client-side logic executable by a client processor for detecting a unique symbol displayed within the visual image ([0030] [0031]); and
- server-side logic executable by a server for matching said unique symbol to at least one of a plurality of stored symbols and returning data corresponding to said matched unique symbol to said client-side logic ([0034] [0035] and [0066]).

Cheatle does not explicitly disclose that legal ownership of the unique human-recognizable logo is tracked by registering the unique human-recognizable logo with an official agency.

However, in an analogous art, Wang discloses tracking legal ownership of a unique human-recognizable logo by registering the unique human-recognizable logo with an official agency (column 1, lines 12 – 42), wherein the disclosed visible watermarks encompass unique human-recognizable logos and further wherein it is inherent to the ability to track and establish ownership rights that said watermark must be somehow registered for tracking.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teaching of Wang with the method of Cheatle as disclosed in the rejection of claim 1 *supra*.

As per independent claim 23, Cheatle discloses a method for automatically distributing information to a consumer comprising:

- prominently displaying in a physical setting a unique human-recognizable logo having sets of coordinated color-sets for the logo, including a border and a background ([0030]);
- digitally capturing an electronic image of the unique human-recognizable logo as a graphic symbol in the physical setting ([0033]), wherein "resulting electronic image" means that an electronic image is digitally captured;
- registering the unique graphic symbol from a vendor and storing information from said vendor related to said unique graphic symbol in a database ([0035] and [0036], lines 1 4), wherein the fact that there is a "tag" associated with a recognized image

Art Unit: 2179

object has been interpreted to mean that the unique object has been registered with information stored in said tag;

- receiving the electronic image of said unique graphic symbol automatically acquired from a picture provided by said consumer ([0030] [0031]);
- searching said database to match said image to said unique graphic symbol ([0034] [0035]); and
- transmitting said information related to said unique graphic symbol to said picture when a match is found ([0035]).

Cheatle does not explicitly disclose tracking legal ownership of the unique human-recognizable logo by registering the unique human-recognizable logo with an official agency.

However, in an analogous art, Wang discloses tracking legal ownership of a unique human-recognizable logo by registering the unique human-recognizable logo with an official agency (column 1, lines 12 – 42), wherein the disclosed visible watermarks encompass unique human-recognizable logos and further wherein it is inherent to the ability to track and establish ownership rights that said watermark must be somehow registered for tracking.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to incorporate the teaching of Wang with the method of Cheatle as disclosed in the rejection of claim 1 *supra*.

As per claims 2, 13, and 25; and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 12, and 23; respectively; Cheatle further discloses that said electronic image is obtained by a computer readable medium ([0002] and [0010]) or an image capture device ([0017]).

As per claims 3 and 14, and taking into account the rejection of claims 1 and 12, respectively, Cheatle further discloses that said identifying comprises automatically analyzing visual data of said electronic image and detecting a characteristic pattern in said visual data indicative of said graphic symbol ([0030] – [0031]).

As per claim 4, and taking into account the rejection of claim 3, Cheatle further discloses that said characteristic pattern comprises at least one of:

- a size ([0030]), wherein size is a characteristic of form;
- a shape ([0030]);
- a set of colors ([0030] and [0031], lines 1 3), wherein a set of colors is a type of
 encoded pattern that would, for example, distinguish similar recognizable logos.

As per claims 8 and 29, and taking into account the rejection of claims 1 and 23, respectively, Cheatle further discloses searching said database for said information corresponding to said match ([0034]) and retrieving said information from said database associated with said match ([0035], lines 5 – 9).

As per claims 9 and 33, and taking into account the rejection of claims 1 and 23, respectively, Cheatle further discloses installing an access point to said transmitted information associated with said graphic symbol into said electronic image and inserting an interface object in said picture, wherein said interface object provides said consumer access to said transmitted information ([0041] – [0042]).

As per claims 10, 17, and 34; and taking into account the rejection of claims 9, 16, and 33; respectively; Cheatle further discloses that said access point comprises one or more of:

- a hyperlink or a web URL ([0034], lines 1 – 4);

Art Unit: 2179

- an applet or application shortcut ([0035], lines 5 9 and [0038]), wherein the linking to a web camera is essentially a shortcut to a web camera applet or application;
- a user-selectable object ([0042]);
- a pop-up information box ([0039]), wherein it has been interpreted that the automatically generated link to a site is a pop-up information box of the site;

As per claims 11, 22, and 30; and taking into account the rejection of claims 1, 12, and 23; respectively; Cheatle further discloses that said information comprises one or more of:

- metadata ([0037]);
- HTML tags or URL address ([0034], lines 1 4);
- computer logic ([0037]), wherein computer logic is inherent in the associated information,
- an interactive multimedia file ([0038]).

As per claim 16, and taking into account the rejection of claim 12, Cheatle further discloses that said client-side logic comprises image logic for incorporating said returned data into said visual image ([0033] – [0034]) and a graphical user interface tool for inserting a user access point to said returned data ([0044], lines 8 – 11).

As per claim 18, and taking into account the rejection of claim 12, Cheatle further discloses a client communication interface for transmitting said unique symbol to said server and a server communication interface for receiving said unique symbol from said client and transmitting said data, wherein said client communication interface receives said data transmitted by said server ([0065] – [0066]).

As per claim 21, and taking into account the rejection of claim 12, Cheatle further discloses that said client comprises one or more of:

- an image capture device ([0017]);
- a personal computer ([0002] and [0010]);
- an application server in communication with one of said image capture device and said personal computer ([0065] [0066]).

As per claim 24, and taking into account the rejection of claim 23, Cheatle further discloses that said image is automatically acquired at a device of said consumer ([0019], lines 1-2).

As per claim 26, and taking into account the rejection of claim 23, Cheatle further discloses creating said unique graphic symbol using a characteristic pattern, wherein said characteristic pattern comprises at least one: a size; a shape; and a color scheme ([0042]), wherein icons and logos are unique graphic symbols of characteristic patterns comprising sizes, shapes, and colors and it is obvious that the unique icons or logos would be created by the respective vendor or logo owner before they are to be used.

As per claim 31, and taking into account the rejection of claim 23, Cheatle further discloses extracting said image of said unique graphic symbol from said picture using code accessible by said consumer ([0056], lines 1-8).

6. Claims 5 – 7, 15, 19, 20 27, 28 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cheatle et al. (US 2002/0140988 A1) and Wang (US 6,263,086 B1) in view of Bollman et al. (US 5,978,519).

Art Unit: 2179

As per claims 5, 15, and 32; Cheatle and Wang sufficiently disclose the information management systems and methods of claims 1, 12, and 31; respectively. However, Cheatle and Wang do not explicitly disclose an application and method for cropping said graphic symbol from said electronic image prior to said communicating.

Nevertheless, in an analogous art, Bollman discloses an application and method for cropping a graphic symbol from an electronic image (column 1, lines 54 – 58).

Thus, it would be obvious to incorporate Bollman's teaching into the invention of Cheatle and Wang to automatically crop a graphic symbol from an electronic image prior to use of the symbol, because Cheatle's invention exhibits characteristics of cropping a symbol from an image when the symbol is to be analyzed [0056, lines 1 – 8]. The cropping would be an innate step to help "to identify areas of bar code and to provide corresponding output," as disclosed by Cheatle. In addition, in order to identify the specific area of the barcode or icon, the image coordinates would have to be mapped in a similar fashion as would be performed by cropping the image. Therefore, cropping of the desired areas of the image would assist in determining the exact location of the symbol and would assist in the ability to eliminate noise and enhance the visibility of the symbol for communicating and matching in the database. Also, it would be beneficial to automatically crop the symbol in order to expedite the process (Bollman, column 1, lines 48 – 50).

As per claims 6 and 27, Cheatle and Wang disclose the methods of claims 1 and 23, respectively. However, Cheatle and Wang do not explicitly disclose checking said communicated graphic symbol for visual anomalies or distortions and altering said visual anomalies prior to said searching or matching.

Nevertheless, in an analogous art, Bollman discloses checking a graphic symbol for visual anomalies or distortions; and altering said visual anomalies or distortions (column 2, lines 1 – 5 and column 4, lines 60 – 64).

Thus, it would be obvious to incorporate Bollman's teaching into the invention of Cheatle and Wang to check for and alter any visual anomalies or distortions, because the use of an image analyzer of Cheatle's invention to identify the recognizable objects of an image would require an ability to interpret said recognizable objects in light of visual anomalies, otherwise the analyzer could not function correctly to identify objects, and the entire invention would be useless. Thus, in order to identify objects in images that include visual anomalies or distortions, one would want to enhance the image to eliminate noise and other anomalies and distortions that would interfere with the matching.

As per claims 7 and 28, and taking into account the rejection of claims 6 and 27, respectively, Bollman further discloses checking a graphic object of an image for visual anomalies and altering said visual anomalies comprising one or more of:

- distortion and noise (column 2, lines 1 5 and column 4, lines 60 64), wherein image distortion is attributed to noise and elimination of said noise and effective image enhancement alters the distortion;
- blur and contrast (column 2, lines 1-5), wherein the process of image enhancement effectively includes the improvement of the image due to blur or contrast;
- brightness (column 2, lines 1 5 and column 3, lines 35 43);
- perspective, orientation, and size (column 2, lines 1 5), wherein adjusting the
 dimensions would alter the perspective, orientation, or size.

Art Unit: 2179

As per claim 19, Cheatle and Wang disclose the information management system of claim 12. In addition Cheatle discloses a search application for searching said plurality of stored symbols for a match and an error checking application for checking for errors during execution of said search application ([0034]), where it is interpreted that the fact that the database is interrogated during the searching means that the process would check for the validity, and thus errors, of the potential matches

However, Cheatle and Wang do not explicitly disclose a graphics application for repairing defects in said detected unique symbol.

Nevertheless, in an analogous art, Bollman discloses a graphics application for repairing defects in a detected graphic object of an image (column 2, lines 1 – 5 and column 4, lines 60 – 64).

Thus, it would be obvious to incorporate Bollman's teaching into the invention of Cheatle and Wang for the same reasons as disclosed in the rejection of claims 6 and 27 supra.

As per claim 20, and taking into account the rejection of claim 19, Cheatle further discloses an image manager for managing execution of said server-side logic on said server ([0065] - [0066]).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed on 6/28/2007 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 2179

Conclusion

8. It is noted that any citation to specific, pages, columns, lines, or figures in the prior art references and any interpretation of the references should not be considered to be limiting in any way. A reference is relevant for all it contains and may be relied upon for all that it would have reasonably suggested to one having ordinary skill in the art. In re Heck, 699 F.2d 1331, 1332-33,216 USPQ 1038, 1039 (Fed. Cir. 1983) (quoting In re Lemelson, 397 F.2d 1006,1009, 158 USPO 275, 277 (CCPA 1968)).

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The cited documents represent the general state of the art.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric A. Wiener whose telephone number is 571-270-1401. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Weilun Lo, can be reached on 571-272-4847. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Eric Wiener

Patent Examiner

A.U. 2179

PRIMARY EXAMINER